Subject No. 231.
Inamdars' Toddy Revenue.

Summary of discussion.—The question of Inamdars' Toddy revenue still remains undecided in several cases.

Dewan's reply.—The general question is still under consideration and disposal, the necessary data having but recently been received from the Deputy Commissioner. The awards in individual cases made by the Deputy Commissioner, or, in appeal, by the Government, should be executed of course without delay.

Subject No. 232.
Abolition of Octroi in Mysore Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—At present, Octroi duty is levied on cloths, gingelly seed and other oil-seeds, &c., only in the Mysore City Municipality, whilst the Taluk Municipalities do not levy such duties. This duty should be abolished, since the merchants in Mysore are great losers at present. Should, however, the Government think that the City Municipality suffers thereby, a duty on iron may be imposed.

Dewan's reply.—It is inexpedient to interfere with so principal a source of Municipal revenue as the Octroi which is the mainstay of the Mysore Municipality. In 1889—90 the revenue from it exceeded Rs. 58,000.

Subject No. 233.
Double levy of Local Cess on the Inamdars' Toddy Revenue.

Summary of discussion.—The revenue from toddy is included in the beriz of the Inam village on which Local Fund Cess is collected. And yet the contractor deducts 1 anna per rupee on the amount he pays to the Inamdar and pays it to Government. This is double levy. The contractor should pay the Local Fund Cess on his stipulated amount to the Inamdar who should pay it to Government.

Dewan's reply.—As what the Government Contractor pays the Inamdar is the net Toddy Revenue of the Inam village, he is not bound to pay the Inamdar Local Fund Cess in addition. The Cess rightly falls on the Inamdar himself.

Subject No. 234.
Exemption of small huts of coolies from Mohatarfa.

Summary of discussion.—Small huts of coolies should be exempted from Mohatarfa house tax.

Dewan's reply.—Will be considered when Mohatarfa Rules are revised. (*Vide* Subject No. 189 *supra.*)

Subject No. 235.
The minimum rate of an Advocate's fee now fixed is too high.

Summary of discussion.—The minimum rate of an Advocate's fee fixed at Rs. 16 is too high and presses unduly on parties in petty cases.

Dewan's reply.—A revision of the scale based upon the Courts in which the Advocates appear and by which the fee is awarded, is understood to be under consideration by the Chief Court.